Mr. Harold B. Ray
Executive Vice President
Southern California Edison Company
San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station
P.O. Box 128
San Clemente, CA 92674-0128

SUBJECT: SAN ONOFRE NUCLEAR GENERATING STATION, UNITS 2 AND 3 -

REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION (RAI) RELATED TO GENERIC LETTER 2004-02, "POTENTIAL IMPACT OF DEBRIS BLOCKAGE ON EMERGENCY SUMP RECIRCULATION AT PRESSURIZED-WATER

REACTORS" (TAC NOS. MC4714 AND MC4715)

Dear Mr. Ray:

By letter dated March 7, 2005, Southern California Edison Company (the licensee) provided the 90-day response to U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) Generic Letter (GL) 2004-02 for San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station, Units 2 and 3. The GL requested the licensee perform an evaluation of the emergency core cooling system and containment spray system recirculation functions in light of the information provided in the GL and, if appropriate, take additional actions to ensure system function. Additionally, addressees were requested to submit to the NRC the information specified in the GL.

The NRC staff has completed its preliminary review of your response and has determined it needs additional information requested in the enclosure to complete our review.

This RAI requests additional information about your overall plans and schedules and not any information on detailed plans or extensive analyses. In light of this, please provide the additional information requested in the enclosure within 45 days of receipt of this letter.

If you have any questions, please call me at (301) 415-8450.

Sincerely, /RA by MFields for/

Bo M. Pham, Project Manager, Section 2 Project Directorate IV Division of Licensing Project Management Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket Nos. 50-361 and 50-362

Enclosure: Request for Additional Information

cc w/encl: See next page

Mr. Harold B. Ray
Executive Vice President
Southern California Edison Company
San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station
P.O. Box 128
San Clemente, CA 92674-0128

SUBJECT: SAN ONOFRE NUCLEAR GENERATING STATION, UNITS 2 AND 3 -

REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION (RAI) RELATED TO GENERIC LETTER 2004-02, "POTENTIAL IMPACT OF DEBRIS BLOCKAGE ON EMERGENCY SUMP RECIRCULATION AT PRESSURIZED-WATER

REACTORS" (TAC NOS. MC4714 AND MC4715)

Dear Mr. Ray:

By letter dated March 7, 2005, Southern California Edison Company (the licensee) provided the 90-day response to U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) Generic Letter (GL) 2004-02 for San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station, Units 2 and 3. The GL requested the licensee perform an evaluation of the emergency core cooling system and containment spray system recirculation functions in light of the information provided in the GL and, if appropriate, take additional actions to ensure system function. Additionally, addressees were requested to submit to the NRC the information specified in the GL.

The NRC staff has completed its preliminary review of your response and has determined it needs additional information requested in the enclosure to complete our review.

This RAI requests additional information about your overall plans and schedules and not any information on detailed plans or extensive analyses. In light of this, please provide the additional information requested in the enclosure within 45 days of receipt of this letter.

If you have any questions, please call me at (301) 415-8450.

Sincerely,

/RA by MFields for/

Bo M. Pham, Project Manager, Section 2

Project Directorate IV

Division of Licensing Project Management Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket Nos. 50-361 and 50-362

Enclosure: Request for Additional Information

cc w/encl: See next page

**DISTRIBUTION**:

PUBLIC PDIV-2 R/F RidsOgcRp
RidsAcrsAcnwMailCenter RidsNrrDlpmLpdiv2 (RGramm) RidsNrrPMBPham
RidsNrrLADBaxley RidsRgnlMailCenter DCullison/DSolorio

RidsNrrDlpmDpr RidsNrrDssaDpr MWebb

Accession No.: ML051530277 \*RAI input from SPLB without any major change

, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
OFFICE	PDIV-1/PM PDIII-2/PM	PDIV-1/LA	DSSA/SPLB/SP	PDIV-2/PM	PDIV-1/SC PDIII-2/SC
NAME	MWebb/JHopkins	DBaxley	DCullison/DSolorio*	MFields for BPham	DTerao/ GSuh
DATE	6/2/2005	6/2/05	5/23/05	6-2-05	6-2-05

San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station Units 2 and 3

CC:

Mr. Daniel P. Breig, Plant Manager Nuclear Generation Southern California Edison Company San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station P. O. Box 128 San Clemente, CA 92674-0128

Mr. Douglas K. Porter Southern California Edison Company 2244 Walnut Grove Avenue Rosemead, CA 91770

Mr. David Spath, Chief Division of Drinking Water and Environmental Management P. O. Box 942732 Sacramento, CA 94234-7320

Chairman, Board of Supervisors County of San Diego 1600 Pacific Highway, Room 335 San Diego, CA 92101

Eileen M. Teichert, Esq. Supervising Deputy City Attorney City of Riverside 3900 Main Street Riverside, CA 92522

Mr. Gary L. Nolff Power Projects/Contracts Manager Riverside Public Utilities 2911 Adams Street Riverside, CA 92504

Regional Administrator, Region IV U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 611 Ryan Plaza Drive, Suite 400 Arlington, TX 76011-8064

Mr. Michael Olson San Diego Gas & Electric Company P.O. Box 1831 San Diego, CA 92112-4150 Mr. Ed Bailey, Chief Radiologic Health Branch State Department of Health Services Post Office Box 997414 (MS7610) Sacramento, CA 95899-7414

Resident Inspector/San Onofre NPS c/o U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Post Office Box 4329 San Clemente, CA 92674

Mayor City of San Clemente 100 Avenida Presidio San Clemente, CA 92672

Mr. Dwight E. Nunn, Vice President Southern California Edison Company San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station P.O. Box 128 San Clemente, CA 92674-0128

Mr. James D. Boyd, Commissioner California Energy Commission 1516 Ninth Street (MS 31) Sacramento, CA 95814

Mr. Ray Waldo, Vice President Southern California Edison Company San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station P.O. Box 128 San Clemente, CA 92764-0128

Mr. Brian Katz
Vice President, Nuclear Oversight and
Regulatory Affairs.
San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station
P.O. Box 128
San Clemente, CA 92764-0128

CC:

Mr. Steve Hsu Department of Health Services Radiologic Health Branch MS 7610, P.O. Box 997414 Sacramento, CA 95899

Adolfo Bailon Field Representative United States Senator Barbara Boxer 312 N. Spring St. Suite 1748 Los Angeles, CA. 90012

# REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

### REGARDING GENERIC LETTER 2004-02,

#### "POTENTIAL IMPACT OF DEBRIS BLOCKAGE ON

### EMERGENCY SUMP RECIRCULATION AT PRESSURIZED-WATER REACTORS"

# SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY

#### SAN ONOFRE NUCLEAR GENERATING STATION, UNITS 2 AND 3

### DOCKET NOS. 50-361 AND 50-362

By letter dated March 7, 2005, Southern California Edison Company (the licensee) provided the 90-day response to U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) Generic Letter (GL) 2004-02 for San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station, Units 2 and 3. The GL requested that addressees perform an evaluation of the emergency core cooling system and containment spray system recirculation functions in light of the information provided in the GL and, if appropriate, take additional actions to ensure system function. Additionally, addressees were requested to submit to the NRC the information specified in the GL. The staff has completed its preliminary review of your response and has determined it needs the following additional information to complete our review:

As a result of the review of the 90-day responses for all licensees and recent discussions with industry, the staff is concerned that some licensees may be delaying the evaluation of chemical and downstream effects until after the September 1, 2005, due date for the next response to the GL. This would result in an incomplete response and is not in accordance with the schedule in the GL. Your 90-day response indicated that your analysis will be completed by September 1, 2005, but did not discuss the evaluation of chemical and downstream effects. Although the GL did not specifically request that the evaluation of chemical and downstream effects be discussed in the 90-day response, please discuss your plans and schedule for evaluating chemical and downstream effects and verify whether your September 1, 2005, response will include an evaluation of these effects.